## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,154	06/29/2006	Binh Thanh Nguyen	DC10000 PCT 1 8213		
137 7590 12/06/2007 DOW CORNING CORPORATION CO1232  EXAMINER				INER	
2200 W. SALZBURG ROAD			NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O		
	P.O. BOX 994 MIDLAND, MI 48686-0994 ART UNIT PAPER NI		PAPER NUMBER		
				1621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/06/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents.admin@dowcorning.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	,	10/585,154	NGUYEN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha	1621
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Oct.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  noe except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,6-8 and 11-13 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3, 6-8 and 11-13 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number:

10/585,154

Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Current Status**

- 1. This action is responsive to Applicants' amendment of 19 October 2007.
- 2. Receipt and entry of Applicants' amendment is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 11-13 are pending in the application.
- 4. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-16 is withdrawn following Applicants amendment.

The rejection of claims 1-3, 6-8 and 11-13 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Barry, {GB 622970}, for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action of 05/22/2007 is maintained.

Applicants argue that Barry process differs from the instantly claimed process in that Applicants claim a process that employs organochlorosilane as a reagent while Barry teach a process that employed hydridochlorosilane as a reagent. Applicants further state that it is well known in the art that hydridochlorosilanes are very different in reactivity and selectivity than other chlorosilanes that do not contain hydrogen. The Examiner found Applicants' argument not convincing because Barry teaches substituted monochlorosilanes of the type RR'SiHCI, where R and R' are aryl radical. The compound is made from SiHCl3 (I) and R'MgX (II). It is well known that RR'SiHCI, RSiCl<sub>3</sub>, (wherein R and R' are organic groups) and HSiCl<sub>3</sub> undergo similar chemical reaction. Therefore, replacing one of the compounds with the other is not a patentable distinction

Application/Control Number:

10/585,154

Art Unit: 1621

One of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success in practicing the instant invention by varying the reactants (RR'SiCl<sub>2</sub>, RSiCl<sub>3</sub> and HSiCl<sub>3</sub>) to arrive at the instantly claimed process for preparing diphenylchlorosilanes. Moreover, all the claimed elements of the claimed invention were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Therefore, the instantly claimed invention would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number:

10/585,154

Art Unit: 1621

Page 4

571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am

to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1621

For)

Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler

Supervisory Patent Examiner,

Technology Center 1600